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Abstract

These British Association for Psychopharmacology guidelines cover the range and aims of treatment for anxiety disorders. They are based explicitly on the available evidence and are presented as recommendations to aid clinical decision making in primary and secondary medical care. They may also serve as a source of information for patients and their carers. The recommendations are presented together with a more detailed review of the available evidence. A consensus meeting involving experts in anxiety disorders reviewed the main subject areas and considered the strength of evidence and its clinical implications. The guidelines were constructed after extensive feedback from participants and interested parties. The strength of supporting evidence for recommendations was rated. The guidelines cover the diagnosis of anxiety disorders and key steps in clinical management, including acute treatment, relapse prevention and approaches for patients who do not respond to first-line treatments.
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Introduction

The British Association for Psychopharmacology (BAP) aims to advance education and research in the science of psychopharmacology, by arranging scientific meetings, fostering research and teaching, encouraging publication of research results and providing guidance and information to the public and professions on matters relevant to psychopharmacology (www.bap.org.uk). As an important part of this process the BAP has published a series of evidence-based guidelines for the use of drugs in psychiatric disorders with the emphasis on producing comprehensive but concise and usable guidelines based on a review of the evidence (Anderson et al., 2000; Goodwin and Consensus Group of the BAP, 2003; Lingford-Hughes et al., 2004).

*Corresponding author: Dr David S. Baldwin, Division of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Southampton, University Department of Mental Health, RSH Hospital, Southampton, SO14 0YG, UK. E-mail: dsb1@soton.ac.uk
Anxiety symptoms and disorders are common in community settings, and in primary and secondary medical care. The personal and societal burden associated with anxiety disorders is considerable, but many people who might benefit from treatment are not recognized or treated. Likely factors include the range of anxiety disorders, their comorbidity with other disorders (particularly depression), lack of awareness of anxiety disorders by sufferers and practitioners, poor confidence by many practitioners in their treatment, and the relative lack of research. Conversely, some patients receive unnecessary or inappropriate treatment. Hence there is much room for improvement in the recognition and management of patients with anxiety disorders: we hope these guidelines will contribute to this process.

**Methodology**

Guidelines are systematically derived statements that aim to inform individual patient and clinician decisions. Recommendations regarding treatment can be graded according to the strength of scientific evidence, and if possible are derived from systematic reviews and randomized controlled trials (RCTs). The principal recommendations apply to the management of *average* patients, and therefore can be expected to apply much of the time: for this reason, we use expressions such as ‘clinicians should consider . . .’ in the summary tables. We accept that there are many patients and many clinical decision points where unthinking adherence to treatment recommendations may be potentially harmful. In situations where the evidence is weaker we have noted management options, being aware that implementation will depend upon clinician experience, patient clinical features and preference, and local circumstance (Haynes et al., 2002). Some of our recommendations may be regarded as standards of clinical care that are driven by ethical considerations or custom and practice: these standards are intended to be applied rigidly.

**Guideline process**

These guidelines are the final result of a British Association for Psychopharmacology (BAP) consensus meeting on 20–21 May 2004 which included experts in the field and representatives of user groups (all those who attended are listed in the acknowledgements). Brief presentations were made on key areas, with greatest emphasis on systematic reviews and RCTs. This was followed by discussion of important issues, in order to identify areas of consensus or uncertainty. A literature review was then performed to validate the consensus points. This was circulated to the participants and other interested parties, together with a summary of the recommendations and their strength, based on the level of evidence. Wherever possible feedback was incorporated into the final version of the guidelines. Given the range and depth of the subject area it was not possible for all participants in the wider group to achieve full consensus on all points.

**Literature search**

All the consensus points and the guideline recommendations can be linked to relevant evidence through the literature review. It was not possible to perform a systematic review of all possible data from primary sources. Existing systematic reviews and RCTs were identified from MEDLINE and EMBASE searches and from the Cochrane Database, as well as from recent previous guidelines (Ballenger et al., 1998a, 1998b; Ballenger et al., 2001; Bandelow et al., 2002; Allgulander et al., 2003; Greist et al., 2003; Pollack et al., 2003; Van Ameringen et al., 2003; Stein et al., 2004; Ballenger et al., 2004) through cross-referencing, and by discussion with experts in the field.

**Strength of evidence and recommendations for guidelines**

As in previous BAP guidelines, the categories of evidence for causal relationships and grading of recommendations are taken from the methodology of the North of England Evidence-Based Guideline Development Project undertaken by the Centre for Health Services Research, University of Newcastle upon Tyne and the Centre for Health Economics, University of York (Shekelle et al., 1999).

**Evidence categories**

Evidence categories are adapted from the US Agency for Health Care Policy and Research Classification (US Department of Health and Human Services, 1992). Six categories are available, when considering causal relationships and treatments, and we have proposed four categories for observational findings and associations (see Table 1).

**Strength of recommendations**

Recommendations are graded as shown in Table 1. Weaker levels of recommendations (B, C or D) do not necessarily imply a reduced level of clinical importance. As in previous recommendations (Goodwin and Consensus Group of the BAP, 2003) we have included a category S (representing a standard of care), denoting a recommendation that incorporates an important clinical consensus on good practice rather than factual evidence.

**Range and aim of the guidelines**

We anticipate that the content of the guidelines will be relevant to all doctors treating patients with anxiety disorders, in primary and secondary medical care settings. Each of the principal disorders – generalized anxiety disorder (GAD), panic disorder (PD), social phobia (also known as social anxiety disorder, SAD), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) – is considered in turn, following key steps in management (acute treatment: continuation treatment: prevention of relapse: combination with psychological approaches: treatment resistance).

We anticipate that the guidelines will prove most useful in informing treatment decisions in primary and secondary care regarding pharmacological management in patients aged between
18 and 65 years. The type and prevalence of anxiety disorders changes during childhood and adolescence (Costello et al., 2003) and the mean age of onset of adult patients with anxiety disorders varies between diagnoses. Nevertheless, many adult patients describe an onset of symptoms in childhood or adolescence, and certain recommendations (for example those pertaining to obsessive-compulsive disorder) will be potentially applicable to adolescent patients. Similarly, recommendations that are applicable to adult patients do not necessarily become invalid once they exceed their sixty-fifth birthday.

We have considered those guidelines developed by the National Institute for Clinical Excellence for generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder and obsessive-compulsive disorder (NICE, www.nice.org.uk), and those from other organizations, such as the recent statements from the World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry (Bandelow et al., 2002) and the World Council on Anxiety (Allgulander et al., 2003; Greist et al., 2003; Pollack et al., 2003; Stein et al., 2003; Van Ameringen et al., 2003).

There is often a tension between existing established clinical practice and the possible implications of new research for changing practice. Existing practice may be accepted on the basis of prolonged clinical experience and by extension of a related proven indication: new treatments may have superior efficacy to placebo in methodologically robust RCTs, but lack comparator data against current treatment. We have highlighted where this tension is greatest, but do not wish to impose specific treatment recommendations that may prove premature.

### Table 1 Categories of evidence and strength of recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories of evidence relevant to specific causal relationships and treatments</th>
<th>Proposed categories of evidence for observational findings and associations</th>
<th>Strength of recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ia Evidence from meta-analysis of RCTs</td>
<td>I Evidence from large representative population samples</td>
<td>A Directly based on category I evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ib Evidence from at least one RCT</td>
<td>II Evidence from small, well-designed but not necessarily representative samples</td>
<td>B Directly based on category II evidence or an extrapolated recommendation from category I evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIa Evidence from at least one controlled study without randomization</td>
<td>III Evidence from non-representative surveys, case reports</td>
<td>C Directly based on category III evidence or an extrapolated recommendation from category I or II evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIb Evidence from at least one other type of quasi-experimental study</td>
<td>IV Evidence from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experience of respected authorities</td>
<td>D Directly based on category IV evidence or an extrapolated recommendation from category I, II or III evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III Evidence from non-experimental descriptive studies, such as comparative studies, correlation studies and case-control studies</td>
<td></td>
<td>S Standard of clinical care</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RCT: randomized controlled trial

### Epidemiology of anxiety symptoms and disorders

Anxiety symptoms are common in the general population and in primary and secondary medical care. Symptoms may be mild, transient and without associated impairment in social and occupational function, but many patients are troubled by severe and persistent symptoms that cause significant personal distress, impair function and reduce quality of life. To meet the diagnosis of an anxiety disorder, patients have to experience a certain number of symptoms for more than a minimum specified period, the symptoms causing significant distress, with an associated impairment in everyday function. Most research has used the diagnostic categories for anxiety disorders in the Fourth Edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-IV) (American Psychiatric Association, 1994), which are broadly similar to those in the Tenth Edition of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) (World Health Organization, 1992): we give simplified versions of the disorders in Table 2.

Epidemiological studies in the general population aged 18–65 years (I) indicate that when taken together anxiety disorders have a 12-month period prevalence of approximately 15%, and a lifetime prevalence of approximately 21% (Wittchen and Jacobi, 2005). Individual disorders are less frequent, with estimated 12-month prevalence rates ranging between 0.7% (OCD) and 7.6% (specific phobia), and estimated lifetime prevalence rates between 0.8% (OCD) and 13.2% (specific phobia) (Table 3). The age and sex distribution of individual disorders varies: for example, specific phobias are markedly more common in women than men across all age bands, whereas panic disorder is almost as frequent in men and women aged 51–65 years. Due to this variation within
individual anxiety disorders, the pattern for all disorders taken together is fairly constant with an overall female:male ratio of approximately 2:1 across the age range. Studies in primary care suggest approximately 50% significantly improve over 6–16 months (II) (Ormel et al., 1991; Ormel et al., 1993; Ronalds et al., 1997) but complete recovery is relative rare. Severity, duration of illness and ongoing social adversity were associated with lack of improvement (II) (Ronalds et al., 1997). Long-term follow-up of participants in eight studies of cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT) for anxiety disorders found that 52% had at least one diagnosis, with significant levels of comorbidity and health scores comparable to the lowest 10% of the population (Durham et al., 2005). A US longitudinal study indicates that the likelihood of recovery from GAD is significantly less than that of recovering from major depression (II) (Yonkers et al., 2000).

Coexisting depressive symptoms are common, particularly in patients with severe anxiety, and many patients simultaneously fulfil diagnostic criteria for anxiety and depressive disorders, this pattern often being named ‘comorbidity’. Cross-sectional studies in European community and clinical settings (Fehm et al., 2005; Goodwin et al., 2005; Lieb et al., 2005) and in UK primary medical care (Nease and Aikens, 2003) reveal a significant correlation between measures of anxiety and depressive symptom severity. Epidemiological studies indicate that approximately 62% of subjects with an anxiety disorder fulfil diagnostic criteria for another psychiatric disorder, most commonly depression, which is present in around 33.5% of subjects with any disorder being considerably more common in subjects with GAD and social phobia (I) (Wittchen and Jacobi, 2005). In practice the presence of marked coexisting depressive symptoms is an important

### Table 2: Short description of the clinical feature of the anxiety disorders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Clinical Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generalized anxiety disorder (GAD)</td>
<td>Excessive and inappropriate worrying that is persistent, physical and psychological symptoms (restlessness, fatigue, difficulty concentrating, irritability, muscle tension and disturbed sleep). Can be comorbid with major depression (but not arise solely in its context), panic disorder, phobic anxiety disorders and OCD in DSM-IV, but must not meet full criteria for these in ICD-10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific phobia</td>
<td>Excessive or unreasonable fear of (and restricted to) single people, animals, objects, or situations (for example, flying, dentists, seeing blood, etc.) which are either avoided or are endured with significant personal distress (avoidance must be prominent for ICD-10 diagnosis).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD)</td>
<td>Recurrent obsessional ruminations, images or impulses, and/or recurrent physical or mental rituals, which are distressing, time-consuming and cause interference with social and occupational function. Common obsessions relate to contamination, accidents, and religious or sexual matters: common rituals include washing, checking, cleaning, counting and touching.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorder (with or without agoraphobia)</td>
<td>Characterized by recurrent unexpected surges of severe anxiety (‘panic attacks’), with varying degrees of anticipatory anxiety between attacks. Typically panic attacks reach their peak within ten minutes and last around 30–45 minutes. Must usually develop a fear of having further panic attacks. Around two-thirds of patients with panic disorder develop agoraphobia, defined as fear in places or situations from which escape might be difficult or in which help might not be available, in the event of having a panic attack. These situations include being in a crowd, being outside the home or using public transport (two situations required in ICD-10): they are either avoided or endured with significant personal distress (avoidance at some stage is required for ICD-10 diagnosis).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)</td>
<td>Characterized by a history of exposure to trauma (actual or threatened death, serious injury, or threats to the physical integrity of the self or others) with a response of intense fear, helplessness or horror: with the later development of re-experiencing symptoms (intrusive recollections, flashbacks or dreams), avoidance symptoms (for example efforts to avoid activities or thoughts associated with the trauma), and hyper-arousal symptoms (including disturbed sleep, hypervigilance and an exaggerated startle response). Must usually be within six months of the exposure to trauma for an ICD-10 diagnosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social phobia (social anxiety disorder)</td>
<td>Characterized by a marked, persistent and unreasonable fear of being observed or evaluated negatively by other people, in social or performance situations, associated with physical and psychological anxiety symptoms. Feared situations (such as speaking to unfamiliar people or eating in public) are either avoided or are endured with significant personal distress (avoidance must be prominent for ICD-10 diagnosis).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

consideration in treatment decisions in primary and secondary medical care. Where the anxiety symptoms are present within the context of a depressive disorder, drug treatment of the depression is effective in improving anxiety (Anderson et al., 2000). Where depression follows or is comorbid with an anxiety disorder it is generally indicative of greater severity and associated with poorer prognosis (II) (Albus and Scheibe, 1993; Brown et al., 1995; Cowley et al., 1996; Shalev et al., 1998; Martinsen et al., 1998; Rief et al., 2000; Erwin et al., 2002). Clinical practice has been to direct treatment towards the depressive disorder in the first instance, choosing treatments that also have action against the symptoms of the anxiety disorder: this has been shown to improve outcome in OCD, with better response to sertraline than desipramine (Ib) (Hoehn-Saric et al., 2000).

Detection and diagnosis of anxiety disorders

In drawing up the guidelines we are aware that there are often practical and conceptual difficulties in delineating specific anxiety disorders, ranging from overlap with milder degrees of depression (‘mixed anxiety and depression’) to comorbidity between anxiety disorders. The diagnosis of some anxiety disorders has also been criticized, with comments relating to potential legal implications (e.g. PTSD) or so-called medicalization of normal variation (e.g. social phobia). Nevertheless the current diagnostic classification provides a useful clinical delineation of distressing and debilitating symptom clusters that, crucially for an evidence-based approach, has been used for patient selection in treatment trials and therefore allows assessment of clinical benefit.

### Table 3  Epidemiology of anxiety disorders in the general population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic group</th>
<th>12-month estimate</th>
<th>Lifetime estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% (95% CI)</td>
<td>% (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any anxiety disorder*</td>
<td>12.0 (11.1–13.0)</td>
<td>21.1 (20.5–21.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorder (± agoraphobia)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.9–2.8)</td>
<td>3.8 (3.1–4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agoraphobia (without panic)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.7–2.5)</td>
<td>3.8 (3.1–4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD</td>
<td>1.5 (1.2–1.9)</td>
<td>5.1 (4.3–5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social phobia</td>
<td>2.0 (1.6–2.5)</td>
<td>5.8 (5.1–6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific phobia</td>
<td>7.6 (6.9–8.5)</td>
<td>13.2 (12.8–13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCD</td>
<td>0.7 (0.5–1.0)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.6–1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTSD</td>
<td>1.2 (0.9–1.3)</td>
<td>Not established</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Without PTSD.


Based upon community studies reporting either prevalence estimates for established diagnoses of mental disorders (according to DSM-III, DSM-III-R, DSM-IV or ICD-10 criteria) or upon studies employing instruments with explicit diagnostic criteria that allow such inferences. See Wittchen and Jacobi (2005).

### Table 4  Distribution of anxiety disorders (12-month prevalence) by age and gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic group</th>
<th>Age 18–34</th>
<th>Age 35–49</th>
<th>Age 50–65</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M F</td>
<td>M F</td>
<td>M F</td>
<td>M F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any anxiety disorder*</td>
<td>7.0  17.0</td>
<td>8.0  15.9</td>
<td>8.4  16.2</td>
<td>7.8  16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorder (± agoraphobia)</td>
<td>1.0  3.4</td>
<td>2.0  3.4</td>
<td>2.1  2.4</td>
<td>1.7  3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agoraphobia (without panic)</td>
<td>0.9  2.0</td>
<td>1.1  2.9</td>
<td>0.9  4.4</td>
<td>1.0  3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD</td>
<td>0.5  1.1</td>
<td>0.9  2.9</td>
<td>1.8  2.2</td>
<td>1.0  2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social phobia</td>
<td>1.9  3.1</td>
<td>0.7  2.7</td>
<td>1.4  2.2</td>
<td>1.3  2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific phobia</td>
<td>4.2  11.9</td>
<td>4.7  9.7</td>
<td>4.6  10.7</td>
<td>4.5  10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCD</td>
<td>0.4  1.0</td>
<td>1.0  0.9</td>
<td>0.3  0.8</td>
<td>0.6  0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTSD</td>
<td>0.4  1.6</td>
<td>0.6  1.4</td>
<td>0.4  1.3</td>
<td>0.5  1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Without PTSD.


Based upon community studies reporting either prevalence estimates for established diagnoses of mental disorders (according to DSM-III, DSM-III-R, DSM-IV or ICD-10 criteria) or upon studies employing instruments with explicit diagnostic criteria that allow such inferences. See Wittchen and Jacobi (2005).
From relatively sparse evidence, the detection of a mental health problem in patients with anxiety disorders in primary care varies between studies, but is probably similar to that for depression with values ranging from 56% to 92%, the differing disorders varying in recognition rates (I) (Ormel et al., 1990; Tiemens et al., 1996; Ronalds et al., 1997; Wittchen et al., 2003). Comorbidity of anxiety with depression improves the detection of mental health problems (Sartorius et al., 1996; Wittchen et al., 2002). However correct identification of which anxiety disorder is present and subsequent active treatment may be less good for anxiety disorders than for depression (Wittchen et al., 2002).

Screening questions and self-report questionnaires are fairly sensitive but not very specific, making the value of routine screening for anxiety disorders questionable (Goldberg and Bridges, 1987; Dowell et al., 1990; Lewis and Wessely, 1990; Parkerson and Broadhead, 1997; Wittchen and Boyer, 1998; Bjelland et al., 2002). The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (Zigmond and Snaith, 1983) is a widely used brief self-report scale with anxiety and depression sub-scales (seven items each): it has sensitivity and specificity of about 0.8 for both sub-scales using a cut-off of eight or above (Bjelland et al., 2002) making it reasonable for use in high risk populations. The World Health Organization has recently published guidance on the identification and management of mental health problems in primary health care (World Health Organization, 2004). A simple algorithm for initial delineation of anxiety disorder subtypes is suggested in Fig. 1.

**General issues in the treatment of anxiety disorders**

**When to treat**

Anxiety symptoms exist on a continuum and many people with milder degrees of anxiety, particularly of recent onset and associated with stressful life events but with little disability will experience an improvement without specific intervention (II) (Mann et al., 1981). In milder forms of depression the benefit of antidepressant treatment over placebo is difficult to demonstrate (Ia) (Khan et al., 2005) and the same is likely to be true of anxiety disorders. Randomized controlled trials across a range of anxiety disorders often demonstrate a high placebo response (e.g. Oosterlaan et al., 2001; Huppert et al., 2004) indicating that non-specific effects can play a large part in improvement. However the chronic nature and associated disability of many disorders means that most patients who fulfil diagnostic criteria for an anxiety disorder are likely to benefit from some form of treatment.

This need for treatment is determined by the severity and persistence of symptoms, the presence of comorbid mental disorder or physical illness, the level of disability and impact on social functioning, concomitant medication, and a history of good response to, or poor tolerability of, previous treatment approaches. As randomized controlled trials are generally performed in rather restricted patient groups with little comorbidity or other features commonly seen in conventional clinical samples, and because

---

**Figure 1** Suggested scheme for exploration of a suspected anxiety disorder
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and venlafaxine

Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) have broad spectrum anxiolytic efficacy and are generally well tolerated, and for this reason generally represent the first-line pharmacological treatment approach in anxiety disorders. However SSRIs have potentially troublesome adverse effects, including initial increased nervousness, insomnia, nausea (Ib) (Baldwin and Birtwistle, 1998) and sexual dysfunction (Ib) (Baldwin, 2004). When stopped abruptly, most SSRIs can produce a discontinuation syndrome characterized by dizziness, insomnia and flu-like symptoms (Ib) (Schatzberg et al., 1997). The serotonin-noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor (SNRI) venlafaxine is also associated with discontinuation symptoms after abrupt withdrawal (Ib) (Silverstone and Ravindran, 1999).

The United Kingdom Committee on Safety of Medicines (CSM) recommends that patients are monitored frequently and carefully when starting or increasing the dosage of SSRI and other antidepressant treatments (CSM, 2004), and recent guidance from the National Institute of Clinical Excellence (NICE, 2004) on the treatment of panic disorder and generalized anxiety disorder recommends that patients are reviewed at fortnightly intervals in the first six weeks of treatment. Due to concerns about its potential safety in overdose, the CSM currently recommends that venlafaxine treatment should only be initiated by specialist mental health practitioners, requires pre-treatment ECG and blood pressure measurement, and is avoided in patients with cardiac disease, electrolyte imbalances or hypertension (IV) (CSM, 2004). Current advice regarding the relative safety of antidepressants after overdose has however been questioned (Nutt, 2005a).

The principal options include a range of pharmacological treatments, psychological therapies based on exposure and cognitive methods, and self-help strategies.

Pharmacological treatments

Many patients are cautious about starting psychotropic drug treatment, fearing problems such as unwanted sedation or the development of physical or psychological dependence, and doctors should discuss the relative benefits and risks of proposed interventions before initiating a treatment prescription. It should be emphasized that response is not immediate, that transient worsening of symptoms can sometimes occur; that prolonged courses are needed to maintain an initial treatment response and minimize the risk of relapse; and that antidepressants (when used) are not ‘addictive’ (Nutt, 2003).

The selection of a particular drug class (and of a specific drug within that class) should be determined principally by the evidence base supporting its use, and also by whether the patient has previous experience of treatment with that compound. The absence of a licensed indication does not necessarily mean an absence of evidence for the proposed treatment intervention. Conversely it should not be assumed that all drugs within a class are likely to be efficacious in the treatment of a particular anxiety disorder, when one member of that class has proven efficacy. The presence of significant coexisting depressive symptoms should guide treatment choice towards prescription of antidepressant drugs rather than benzodiazepines. The major adverse effects and problems associated with prescription of psychototropic drugs mentioned in the sections on treatment of individual anxiety disorders are summarized in Table 5.

**Recommendations: general issues for pharmacotherapy**

- Discuss the benefits and risks of specific drug treatments with patients before treatment (S)
- SSRIs are effective across the range of anxiety disorders and are generally suitable for first-line treatment (A)
- Benzodiazepines are effective in many anxiety disorders but their use should be short term and only considered beyond this in treatment-resistant cases because of problems with side effects and dependence (C)
- The use of other drugs such as tricyclic antidepressants, MAOIs, antipsychotics and anticonvulsants needs to be considered in relation to their evidence-base for specific conditions and their individual risks and benefits (S)
- With all antidepressants, especially SSRIs and venlafaxine, there should be specific discussion and monitoring of possible adverse effects early in treatment (initial worsening of anxiety/agitation or rarely the emergence of suicidal ideation) (S)
- With antidepressants and benzodiazepines there should be specific discussion and monitoring of adverse effects on stopping the drugs after a week of treatment (discontinuation symptoms and, with benzodiazepines, rebound anxiety and withdrawal/dependence) (S)
### Table 5  Summary of potential adverse effects, interactions and other specific problems of named psychotropic drugs used in the treatment of anxiety disorders*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>‘Anti-Ch’</th>
<th>Sedation</th>
<th>Insomnia</th>
<th>↓bp</th>
<th>Nausea</th>
<th>Sexual problems</th>
<th>Weight gain</th>
<th>Specific problems</th>
<th>Inhibition of hepatic enzymes</th>
<th>Toxicity in overdose</th>
<th>Withdrawal symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors</td>
<td>citalopram</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>All SSRIs:</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>escitalopram</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fluoxetine</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>nervousness in first few days of treatment:</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fluvoxamine</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>paroxetine</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sertraline</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>b. possible increased risk of suicide attempts in depressed children and adolescents.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serotonin-noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors</td>
<td>duloxetine</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Hypertension. BP and ECG monitoring suggested.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>venlafaxine</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(more at higher doses)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors</td>
<td>maprotiline</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Seizures:</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricyclic antidepressants</td>
<td>clomipramine</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>amitriptyline</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>desipramine</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>imipramine</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>phenelzine</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>moclobemide</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoamine oxidase inhibitors</td>
<td>clomipramine</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptor antagonists</td>
<td>mirtazapine</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Blood dyscrasia (as common as with TCAs)</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>alprazolam</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>All benzodiazepines:</td>
<td>Minimal effects on hepatic enzymes; Can be reversed</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bromazepam</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>a. can impair attention and memory</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>clonazepam</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>and memory</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>diazepam</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>and memory</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lorazepam</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>b. tolerance and dependence may occur</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>flumazenil.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>May persist for long periods.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Conventional Neuroleptics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>Risk of development of acute and delayed movement disorders.</th>
<th>Many drug interactions, high</th>
<th>+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haloperidol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trifluoperazine</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Atypical Antipsychotics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>All atypicals:</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>low</th>
<th>?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quetiapine</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Risk of hyperglycaemia and induction of diabetes mellitus.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risperidone</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Anticonvulsants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>Potential for the development of tolerance or dependence is not established.</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>?</th>
<th>A Abrupt withdrawal may precipitate seizures.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gabapentin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>A Abrupt withdrawal may precipitate seizures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregabalin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>A Abrupt withdrawal may precipitate seizures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mood Stabilizer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>Narrow therapeutic window necessitates regular blood level monitoring.</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>Acute, medium</th>
<th>Chronic intoxication: high.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lithium</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Acute, medium</td>
<td>Chronic intoxication: high.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Beta-blockers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>Avoid in patients with asthma, heart failure, and peripheral vascular disease.</th>
<th>Many drug interactions, medium</th>
<th>Abrupt withdrawal may precipitate hypertension.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pindolol</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abrupt withdrawal may precipitate hypertension.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propranolol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abrupt withdrawal may precipitate hypertension.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Antihistamines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>Little information on benefits and risks.</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>low-medium</th>
<th>?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxyzine</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>low-medium</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> agonist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>Little information on benefits and risks.</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>low</th>
<th>-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buspirone</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Annotations**

'Anti-Ch', anticholinergic: refers to symptoms commonly caused by muscarinic receptor blockade (including dry mouth, sweating, blurred vision, constipation and urinary retention), although one or more of these symptoms may be caused by other mechanisms and does not necessarily imply that the drug binds to muscarinic receptors: ↓bp, postural hypotension: +++, relatively common or strong: +, may occur or moderately strong: −, absent or weak: ?, unknown or insufficient information.

*Only those psychotropic drugs that are named in the text and currently available for clinical use are considered: naming a drug in this table does not indicate that it has proven efficacy or a license for the treatment of anxiety. The side-effect profiles given are not comprehensive and provide approximate comparison only. Details of drugs and potential cautions and interactions should be looked up in a reference book such as the British National Formulary (2005).
Tricyclic antidepressants and monoamine oxidase inhibitors

Certain tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) are efficacious in some anxiety disorders, but are associated with a greater burden of adverse effects than either SSRIs or venlafaxine, and for this reason TCAs should only be used after non-response to or poor tolerance of SSRI or SNRI treatment. TCAs should be avoided in patients considered at risk of suicide, due to their potential cardiac and CNS toxicity after overdose (Nutt, 2005a). Stopping TCAs abruptly can also cause a discontinuation syndrome, and pharmacokinetic interactions can limit their use in patients taking concomitant medication. The irreversible monoamine oxidase inhibitor (MAOI) phenelzine has proven efficacy in panic disorder and SAD, but side effects and the need to follow dietary restrictions limit its use, and it should only be considered when patients have not responded to or proved intolerant of other treatment approaches. Moclobemide has some efficacy in panic disorder and social phobia, and the reversibility of its action reduces the need for dietary restrictions.

Benzodiazepines

Some benzodiazepines have proven efficacy in panic disorder, GAD and social phobia, but they can cause troublesome sedation in acute treatment, and dependence can occur (especially in predisposed patients) with longer-term use (Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2005). Discontinuation symptoms have their peak severity at two days for short half-life and 4–7 days for long-half life benzodiazepines (Rickels et al., 1990). As they have limited efficacy in relieving depressive symptoms (Ib) (Rickels et al., 1991), antidepressants should be preferred in patients with significant comorbid depression. Benzodiazepines will usually be reserved for the treatment of patients who have not responded to at least two treatments (such as after non-response to both an SSRI and a psychological treatment) but concerns about potential problems in long-term use should not prevent their use in patients with persistent, severe, distressing and impairing anxiety symptoms (Nutt, 2005b).

Other agents

The side-effect burden and currently limited evidence base for antipsychotic drugs in the treatment of anxiety disorders (with efficacy mainly for certain antipsychotics after non-response to SSRI treatment in OCD) means they have only a limited role in overall patient management (El-Khayat and Baldwin, 1998). Certain non-benzodiazepine anticonvulsant drugs have proven efficacy in some anxiety disorders (principally GAD and social phobia) but there have been few randomized controlled trials and the potential for development of tolerance or dependence with prolonged use in anxiety disorders is not certain (Ashton and Young, 2003): for these reasons, anticonvulsants would normally be restricted for use in patients who have not responded to or proved intolerant of treatments with a more substantial evidence base.

Psychological treatments

Many patients have a preference for psychological treatments over pharmacological approaches. Certain forms of psychotherapy, such as exposure therapy, cognitive therapy and cognitive-behaviour therapy (CBT), have proven efficacy in the treatment of anxiety disorders: but others, such as psychodynamic psychotherapy, have not been found superior to control interventions, or have not been subject to controlled investigations. Many evaluations of the efficacy of psychological treatments have not employed an adequate psychological placebo-control treatment: the use of waiting list controls is suboptimal. As with pharmacological approaches, it should be emphasized that response is not immediate: that transient worsening of symptoms can sometimes occur: that prolonged courses are often needed to maintain an initial treatment response: that dependence on the therapist may occur, with problems when treatment is stopped: and that encouraging short-term outcomes are no guarantee of good outcomes over the longer term.

In general, the efficacy of psychological and pharmacological approaches is similar in the acute treatment of anxiety disorders. In some studies, relapse rates are lower after an initial response to cognitive therapy with exposure than after response to drug treatment. For these reasons, patients should be offered a choice of treatment approaches, selection being affected by patient clinical features, needs and preference, and by the local availability of services able to offer evidence-based psychological interventions. It is uncertain whether combining psychological and pharmacological treatments is associated with greater efficacy, than either treatment given alone. As such it may be best to plan sequential steps in patient management (NICE, 2004). Previous concerns that prescription of psychotropic drugs might reduce the efficacy of psychological treatment are probably unfounded (Lader and Bond, 1998).

When psychological treatment is recommended, it should only be delivered by suitably trained and supervised staff, able to demonstrate that their clinical practice adheres to evidence-based treatment protocols (NICE, 2004). The relative scarcity of such individuals reduces the range of treatment options open to patients and doctors.

Recommendations: general issues for psychotherapy and self-help

- Discuss the benefits and risks of specific treatments with patients before treatment (S)
- Selection of a particular psychological treatment should be determined by the evidence-base supporting its use, the clinical features of the patient, patient preference and the local availability of that service (S)
- Psychological treatments should only be delivered by suitably trained and supervised staff, able to demonstrate their clinical practice adheres to evidence-based treatment protocols (S)
- Patients with more than mild symptoms are unlikely to improve significantly through self-help approaches alone (S)

A general range of 8–20 hours of sessions of CBT may be needed in the treatment of anxiety disorders. In GAD and panic disorder, a typical treatment course consists of approximately 16–20 hours, up to half of which can be conducted by the patient...
in supervised ‘homework’ sessions, over a period of approximately four months (NICE, 2004). In PTSD, a standard course of psychological treatment might involve 8–12 sessions of trauma-focused CBT, delivered at weekly intervals (NICE, 2005). In OCD, a typical initial treatment course might include approximately 16 hours of intervention based on exposure and response prevention, with longer and more intensive treatment in house-bound patients (NICE, 2005).

The role of self-help and other approaches in anxiety disorders

Patient preference and the sub-optimal effects of pharmacological or psychological treatment approaches have encouraged the development of a range of self-help techniques and therapies in anxiety disorders. Many patients and their carers derive considerable support from local self-help groups and national self-help organizations (such as the United Kingdom organizations: the National Phobics Society, No Panic, and Obsessive Action). However, there have been relatively few randomized controlled trials of the efficacy and acceptability of self-help approaches and few studies have been conducted in diagnostically homogenous groups, with reliable outcome measures and robust statistical analysis.

A systematic review of six randomized controlled trials indicates that self-help is efficacious in primary care patients with mixed anxiety disorders, greater efficacy being seen with more detailed instruction in use of self-help manuals (Ia) (van Boeijen et al., 2005). Bibliotherapy (use of self-help books) appears efficacious in patients with ‘clinically significant emotional disorders’, but the efficacy of self-help groups in this population is not established (Ia) (den Boer et al., 2004). A systematic review of complementary therapies finds some evidence for the efficacy of bibliotherapy (in specific phobia), relaxation training (in GAD and panic disorder), exercise (in GAD) and use of Kava [now withdrawn due to hepatotoxicity] (in GAD) (Ia) (Jorm et al., 2004). A systematic review of counselling for primary care patients with emotional problems (including anxiety, depression, and ‘stress’) indicates that the short-term (but not long-term) efficacy of counselling was greater than that of standard general practitioner care, with or without antidepressant treatment (Ia) (Bower et al., 2001).

In discrete anxiety disorders, there is some evidence for the efficacy of a broad range of interventions, including bibliotherapy (Ib) (Lidren et al., 1994), exercise therapy (Ib) (Brookcs et al., 1998) and Internet-based computerized cognitive therapy (Ib) (Carlbring et al., 2003) in panic disorder: and computer-guided behaviour therapy (Ib) (Greist et al., 2002) and possibly yogic meditation (Ib) (Shannahoff-Khalsa et al., 1999) in OCD. The efficacy of self-help techniques in other anxiety disorders has not been examined extensively: it was no more efficacious than repeated assessment in post-traumatic stress disorder (Ehlers et al., 2003). The UK National Institute for Clinical Excellence concluded that there was insufficient evidence to recommend the general introduction of computerized cognitive behaviour therapy for anxiety symptoms or disorders (Ia) (NICE, 2002).

Cost-effectiveness of treatment

Anxiety disorders are associated with a substantial economic burden, both for the health system and (especially) for wider society in terms of productivity losses (I) (Souetre et al., 1994; Salvador-Carulla et al., 1995; Rice and Miller, 1998; Greenberg et al., 1999; Andlin-Sobocki and Wittchen, 2005), but there have been few evaluations of the cost-effectiveness of treatment in anxiety disorders. Treatment costs account for a small proportion of the overall costs of health care (Durham et al., 2005). An economic evaluation of the cost-effectiveness of differing forms of secondary care provision for Vietnam veterans with PTSD found higher levels of patient satisfaction, reduced overall costs and reduced likelihood of symptomatic relapse with short-stay, compared to long-stay inpatient provision (Ib) (Fontana and Rosenheck, 1997). A randomized controlled trial of a collaborative care intervention (education, surgery visits from a psychiatrist) in United States primary care patients with panic disorder found that patients subject to such intervention experienced proportionately more anxiety-free days over 12 months at reduced overall costs compared to a ‘treatment as usual’ control group (Ib) (Katon et al., 2002). A randomized controlled trial of computerized CBT versus standard care in United Kingdom primary care patients with depression and/or anxiety disorders found that outcomes were improved (Ib) (Proudfoot et al., 2004), service costs were higher but lost employment costs lower in the intervention group (Ib) (McCrone et al., 2004). The computerized CBT appeared to be cost-effective by broad (health system-wide and societal) criteria. Decision modelling indicates that venlafaxine is more cost-effective than diazepam in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder (Iib)(Guest et al., 2005). In general, economic models suggest that evidence-based treatment of anxiety disorders would produce greater population health gain at a similar cost to current care (II) (e.g. Patel et al., 2002; Issakidis et al., 2004; NICE, 2005) – consequences which are likely to be seen as a cost-effective use of resources.

Generalized anxiety disorder (GAD)

Recognition and diagnosis

Although generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) is amongst the most common mental disorders in primary care, and is associated with increased use of health services, it is often not recognized (I): possibly because only a minority of patients present with anxiety symptoms (most patients with present physical symptoms), and doctors tend to overlook anxiety unless it is a presenting complaint (I) (Ormel et al., 1990). The disability associated with GAD is similar to that with major depression (I) (Wittchen et al., 2000). Patients with ‘comorbid’ depression and GAD have a more severe and prolonged course of illness and greater functional impairment (I) (Kessler et al., 1999), and a greater chance of being recognized as having mental health problems, though not necessarily as having GAD (Weiller et al., 1998; Wittchen et al., 2002).
### Table 6  Generalized anxiety disorder: treatment approaches supported by placebo-controlled studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SSRIs</th>
<th>TCAs</th>
<th>Benzodiazepines</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acute efficacy</strong></td>
<td>Escitalopram</td>
<td>Imipramine</td>
<td>Alprazolam</td>
<td>Venlafaxine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Diazepam</td>
<td>CBT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Buspironone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-term efficacy</strong></td>
<td>Escitalopram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hydroxydiazepine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pregabalin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relapse prevention</strong></td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trifluoperazine (Abercarnil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Escitalopram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Opipramol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhances the efficacy of psychological treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CBT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After non-response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Venlafaxine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CBT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Empty cell indicates current absence of published placebo-controlled data. Brackets indicate drug is not available for clinical use.

**Acute treatment**

Systematic reviews and placebo-controlled RCTs indicate that some SSRIs (escitalopram, paroxetine and sertraline), the SNRI venlafaxine, some benzodiazepines (alprazolam and diazepam), the tricyclic imipramine, and the 5-HT₁₆ partial agonist buspirone are all efficacious in acute treatment (Ia) (Kapczinski et al., 2003; NICE, 2004; Baldwin and Polkinghorn, 2005; Mitte et al., 2005). Other compounds with proven efficacy (Ib) include the antipsychotic trifluoperazine (Mendels et al., 1986), the antihistamine hydroxyzine (Lader and Scotto, 1998; Llorca et al., 2002), the anticonvulsant pregabalin (Feltner et al., 2003), and the sigma-site ligand opipramol (Möller et al., 2001). Treatments with unproven efficacy in GAD include the beta-blocker propranolol (Ib) (Meibach et al., 1987).

There have been few comparator-controlled studies, and most reveal no significant differences in efficacy between active compounds (Mitte et al., 2005); however, escitalopram (20mg/day) has been found significantly superior to paroxetine (20mg/day) (Ib) (Baldwin et al., 2004), and venlafaxine (75–225mg/day) superior to fluoxetine (20–60mg/day) on some outcome measures in patients with comorbid GAD and major depression (Ib) (Silverstone and Salinas, 2001). Psychological symptoms of anxiety may respond better to antidepressant drugs than to benzodiazepines (Meoni et al., 2004; Baldwin and Polkinghorn, 2005). Fixed-dose RCTs provide some evidence of a dose-response relationship with escitalopram, paroxetine and venlafaxine (Ib) (Rickels et al., 2000; Allgulander et al., 2001; Rickels et al., 2003; Baldwin et al., 2004).

**Long-term treatment**

Double-blind studies indicate that continuing with SSRI or SNRI treatment is associated with an increase in overall response rates (Ib): from eight to 24 weeks with escitalopram or paroxetine (Bielski et al., 2004); from four to 12 weeks with sertraline (Allgulander et al., 2004) and from eight to 24 weeks with venlafaxine (Montgomery et al., 2002). Placebo-controlled relapse-prevention studies in patients who have responded to previous acute treatment reveal a significant advantage for staying on active medication (escitalopram or paroxetine), compared to switching to placebo, for up to six months (Ib) (Stocchi et al., 2003; Allgulander et al., 2005).

**Comparative efficacy of psychological, pharmacological, and combination treatments**

Drug or psychological treatments, delivered singly, have broadly similar efficacy in acute treatment (Ia) (Gould et al., 1997; NICE, 2004). Relapse rates are lower with cognitive behaviour therapy than with other forms of psychological treatment (Ib) (Fisher and Durham, 1999; Durham et al., 2003), but the comparative efficacy of drug and psychological approaches over the long term is not established. It is uncertain whether combining drug and psychological treatments is associated with greater overall efficacy than with either treatment, given alone (Ib) (Durham and Turvey, 1987; Lader and Bond, 1998; Power et al., 1990).

**When initial treatments prove unhelpful**

There is no clear evidence for an increase in response with dose escalation after an initial non-response to a lower dose. Switching between treatments with proven efficacy may be helpful (NICE, 2004).
Recommendations: treatment of generalized anxiety disorder

Detection and diagnosis
- Become familiar with the symptoms and signs of generalized anxiety disorder (S)
- Assess the level of disability to help determine the threshold for treatment (A)
- Ask about long-standing anxiety symptoms when patients present with depression or unexplained physical symptoms (A)

Acute treatment
- Choose an evidence-based acute treatment (A):
  - Pharmacological: some SSRIs (escitalopram, paroxetine, sertraline), venlafaxine, some benzodiazepines (alprazolam, diazepam), imipramine, buspirone, hydroxyzine
  - Psychological: cognitive-behaviour therapy
- Take account of patient clinical features, needs and preference and local service availability when choosing treatment, as pharmacological and psychological approaches have broadly similar efficacy in acute treatment (S)
- Consider an SSRI for first-line pharmacological treatment (D)
- Higher doses of SSRIs or venlafaxine may be associated with greater response rates (A)
- Advise the patient that treatment periods of up to 12 weeks are needed to assess efficacy (A)

Longer-term treatment
- Continue drug treatment for a further six months in patients who are responding at 12 weeks (A)
- In longer-term pharmacological treatment use an approach known to be efficacious in preventing relapse: the best evidence is for SSRIs (escitalopram, paroxetine) (A)
- Consider cognitive-behaviour therapy as it may reduce relapse rates better than drug treatment (S)
- Monitor efficacy and tolerability regularly during long-term treatment (S)

Combination of drugs and psychological treatment
- Routinely combining drug treatment and cognitive-behaviour therapy when there are no contraindications (D)
- Consider combining evidence-based treatments only in refractory patients (S)

Panic disorder (with and without agoraphobia)

Recognition and diagnosis
Patients with panic disorder are often not recognized in primary or secondary medical care, despite their considerable use of emergency, cardiac, gastrointestinal, neurological and mental health services (I) (Roy-Byrne et al., 1999). There is considerable comorbidity with other anxiety disorders, bipolar disorder and major depression: comorbid panic and depression being associated with greater disability and impairment, and increased use of health services (I) (Roy-Byrne et al., 2000). Accurate diagnosis is dependent upon establishing the presence of initially unexpected panic attacks (with comparative freedom from anxiety between attacks) and the associated concern, worry or change in behaviour due to the anticipated risk of further attacks. In primary and secondary medical care, very few patients fulfil diagnostic criteria for agoraphobia without panic disorder: in coexisting panic and agoraphobia, some (II) (Goisman et al., 1995; Langs et al., 2000) but not all (II) (Lelliott et al., 1989; Annering et al., 1997) studies suggest agoraphobia is a consequence of the severity of a primary panic disorder.

Acute treatment
Systematic reviews demonstrate that a range of pharmacological, psychological and combination interventions are effective in panic disorder (Ia) (Van Balkom et al., 1997; Bakker et al., 1998). Randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trials of antidepressants indicate that all SSRIs (Ia) (escitalopram, citalopram, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, paroxetine and sertraline), some TCAs (Ia) (clomipramine, imipramine) and some benzodiazepines (Ia) (alprazolam, clonazepam, diazepam and lorazepam) are efficacious in acute treatment (Den Boer, 1998; Otto et al., 2001). Other antidepressants with proven efficacy include the SNRI venlafaxine (Ib) (Pollack et al., 2004), the selective noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor reboxetine (Ib) (Versiani et al., 2002) and the MAOI brefentanil (no longer in development) (Ib) (van Vliet et al., 1995).

Comparator-controlled studies provide some evidence for efficacy of mirtazapine (Ib) (Ribeiro et al., 2001) and moclobemide (Ib) (Tiller et al., 1999) and suggest that escitalopram is superior to citalopram (Ib) (Stahl et al., 2003), and some SSRIs (paroxetine, fluvoxamine) to some noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors (reboxetine, maprotiline) (Ib) (Den Boer et al., 1988; Bertani et al., 2004). The side-effect burden associated with SSR1 treatment in panic disorder is somewhat less than that with other classes of psychotropic drug (Ib) (Baldwin and Birtwistle, 1998). Treatments with unproven efficacy in panic disorder include the beta-blocker...
propranolol (Ib) (Munjack et al., 1989), buspirone (Ib) (Sheehan et al., 1988) and antihistamines or antipsychotics (IV) (NICE, 2004). Fixed-dose RCTs with SSRIs provide only limited evidence of a dose-response relationship, for fluoxetine (Ib) (Michelson et al., 1998) and for paroxetine (Ib) (Ballenger et al., 1998).

Long-term treatment

Double-blind studies indicate that continuing SSRI or clomipramine treatment from 12 weeks to 52 weeks is associated with an increase in overall treatment response rates (Ib) (Lecrubier and Judge, 1997; Lepola et al., 1998), (IV) (Ballenger et al., 1998). Placebo-controlled and other relapse-prevention studies in patients who have responded to previous acute treatment reveal a significant advantage for staying on active medication (fluoxetine, paroxetine, sertraline, imipramine), compared to switching to placebo for up to six months (Ib) (Mavissakalian and Perel, 1999; Michelson et al., 1999; Rapaport et al., 2001; Dannon et al., 2004).

Comparative efficacy of psychological, pharmacological and combination treatments

Pooled analyses and randomized controlled trials indicate that drug and psychological treatments, delivered singly, have broadly similar efficacy in acute treatment, and suggest CBT may be superior to TCAs in preventing symptomatic relapse (Ia) (van Balkom et al., 1997). Overall, it is uncertain whether combining drug and psychological treatments is associated with greater overall efficacy than with either treatment, given alone (Ia) (Ib) (van Balkom et al., 1997; Barlow et al., 2000). An early study found that combination treatment with exposure was superior to imipramine given alone (Mavissakalian et al., 1983). Combination treatment with paroxetine was superior to psychological treatment alone, in studies of bibliotherapy (Ib) (Dannon et al., 2002), ‘very brief’ CBT (Ib) (Stein et al., 2000) and basic CBT (Ib) (Oehrberg et al., 1995); and buspirone may enhance the short-term efficacy of CBT (Ib) (Cottraux et al., 1995).

When initial treatments prove unhelpful

There is no clear evidence for the benefit of dose escalation after an initial non-response to low doses. Switching between treatments with proven efficacy may be helpful (NICE, 2004). Augmentation of CBT with paroxetine may be superior to continuing with CBT alone, in patients who did not previously respond over 15 sessions (Kampmann et al., 2002), and augmentation of fluoxetine with pindolol was superior to continued monotherapy with fluoxetine (Hirschmann et al., 2000). The addition of group CBT may be beneficial in non-responders to pharmacological approaches (Pollack et al., 1994; Otto et al., 1999; Heldt et al.,

---

Table 7 Panic disorder: treatment approaches supported by placebo-controlled studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SSRIs</th>
<th>TCAs</th>
<th>Benzo diazepines</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acute panic attack</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acute efficacy</strong></td>
<td>Citalopram</td>
<td>Clomipramine</td>
<td>Alprazolam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Escitalopram</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lorazepam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluoxetine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alprazolam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluvoxamine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clonazepam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Diazepam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lorazepam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-term efficacy</strong></td>
<td>Citalopram</td>
<td>Clomipramine</td>
<td>Alprazolam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluoxetine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lorazepam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clomipramine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alprazolam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relapse prevention</strong></td>
<td>Fluoxetine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Imipramine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alprazolam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td></td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enhances the efficacy of psychological treatment</strong></td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td>Antidepressants (meta-analysis)</td>
<td>Benzo diazepines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(meta-analysis)</td>
<td>Buspirone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After non-response</td>
<td>Paroxetine (prior CBT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Comparator-controlled study only.
Empty cell indicates current absence of published placebo-controlled data.
There is only limited evidence for augmentation strategies involving benzodiazepines, mood stabilizers or antipsychotic drugs.

**Recommendations: treatment of panic disorder**

*Detection and diagnosis*
- Become familiar with the diagnostic criteria for panic disorder (S)
- Assess the level of agoraphobic avoidance to help judge the severity of the condition (B)
- Ask about panic attacks and agoraphobia when patients present with depression or medically unexplained physical symptoms such as chest pain or shortness of breath (A)

*Acute treatment*
- Choose an evidence-based acute treatment (A):
  - *pharmacological*: all SSRIs, some TCAs (clomipramine, imipramine), some benzodiazepines (alprazolam, clonazepam, diazepam, lorazepam), venlafaxine, reboxetine
  - *psychological*: cognitive-behaviour therapy
- Take account of patient clinical features, needs and preference and local service availability when choosing treatment, as pharmacological and psychological approaches have broadly similar efficacy in acute treatment (S)
- Consider an SSRI for first-line pharmacological treatment (S)
- Consider increasing the dose if there is insufficient response, but there is only limited evidence for a dose-response relationship with SSRIs (A)
- Initial side-effects can be minimized by slowly increasing the dose (D)
- Advise the patient that treatment periods of up to 12 weeks are needed to assess efficacy (A)

*Longer-term treatment*
- Consider cognitive therapy with exposure as this may reduce relapse rates better than drug treatment (A)
- Continue drug treatment for a further six months in patients who are responding at 12 weeks (A)
- In longer-term drug treatment use an approach known to be efficacious in preventing relapse (S); first line drug choice is an SSRI (A), imipramine is a second-line choice (B)
- Monitor efficacy and tolerability regularly during long-term treatment (S)
- When stopping treatment, reduce the dose gradually over an extended period to avoid discontinuation and rebound symptoms (D). In the absence of evidence a minimum of three months is recommended for this taper period (D)

*Combination of drugs and psychological treatment*
- Routinely combining drug and psychological approaches is not recommended for initial treatment in the absence of consistent evidence for enhanced efficacy over each treatment given alone (D)

*When initial treatments fail*
- Consider switching to another evidence-based treatment after non-response at 12 weeks (C)
- Consider combining evidence-based treatments only when there are no contraindications (D)
- Consider adding paroxetine or buspirone to psychological treatments after partial response (A)
- Consider adding paroxetine, whilst continuing with CBT, after initial non-response (A)
- Consider adding group-CBT in non-responders to pharmacological approaches (A)
- Consider referral to regional or national specialist services in refractory patients (S)

**Social phobia (also known as social anxiety disorder)**

*Recognition and diagnosis*
Social phobia is often not recognized in primary medical care (I), where it is often misconstrued as shyness (Weiller *et al.*, 1996). It can be distinguished from shyness by the levels of personal distress and associated social and occupational impairment (I) (Stein *et al.*, 2000). The generalized subtype is associated with greater disability and higher comorbidity but patients with the non-generalized form can also be substantially impaired (I) (Kessler *et al.*, 1998; Eng *et al.*, 2000). Distinguishing social phobia from avoidant personality disorder is difficult and many patients fulfil diagnostic criteria for both conditions (I) (McGlashan *et al.*, 2000). Patients can present with symptoms arising from comorbid conditions (especially depression), rather than with characteristic social anxiety and avoidance (IV) (Lecrubier *et al.*, 2000). Many patients use alcohol and drugs of misuse in an attempt to relieve symptoms (I) (Patel *et al.*, 2002).

*Acute treatment*
Systematic reviews and placebo-controlled RCTs indicate that a range of treatment approaches are efficacious, including CBT (Ia) (Heimberg, 2002), SSRIs (escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, paroxetine and sertraline), the SNRI venlafaxine, the MAOI phenelzine and the RIMA moclobemide. Some benzodiazepines (bromazepam and clonazepam), anticonvulsants (gabapentin and pregabalin) and the antipsychotic olanzapine are also efficacious in acute treatment (Ia) (Blanco *et al.*, 2003), (Ib) (Pande *et al.*, 2004; Davidson *et al.*, 2004a; Davidson *et al.*, 2004b; Lader *et al.*, 2004). Treatments with unproven efficacy in generalized social phobia include the TCA imipramine, buspirone and the beta-blocker atenolol (Ib) (Blanco *et al.*, 2003). There have been few comparator-controlled studies: escitalopram (20 mg/day) has been found superior to paroxetine (20 mg/day) (Lader *et al.*, 2004).
whereas venlafaxine (75–225mg/day) and paroxetine (20–50mg/day) had similar efficacy in two placebo-controlled studies (Allgulander et al., 2004; Liebowitz et al., 2005). Fixed-dose RCTs do not provide convincing evidence of a dose-response relationship (Ib) (Liebowitz et al., 2002; Lader et al., 2004). Post hoc analysis of the RCT database with paroxetine indicates that many non-responders to treatment at eight weeks become responders with four further weeks of double-blind treatment (Stein et al., 2002).

Long-term treatment

Double-blind studies indicate that continuing SSRI or SNRI treatment from 12 weeks to 24 weeks is associated with an increase in overall treatment response rates (Ib) (Stein et al., 2003; Lader et al., 2004; Stein et al., 2005). Placebo-controlled relapse-prevention studies in patients who have responded to previous acute treatment reveal a significant advantage for staying on active medication (clonazepam, escitalopram, paroxetine, sertraline), compared with switching to placebo for up to six months (Ib) (Blanco et al., 2003).

Comparative efficacy of pharmacological, psychological and combination treatments

Drug and psychological treatments, delivered singly, have broadly similar efficacy in acute treatment (Ia) (Heimberg et al., 1998; Otto et al., 2000; Blomhoff et al., 2001; Davidson et al., 2004). However, acute treatment with cognitive therapy (group or individual) may be associated with reduced risk of symptomatic relapse at follow-up (Ib) (Liebowitz et al., 1999; Clark et al., 2003; Haug et al., 2003). It is uncertain whether combining drug and psychological treatments is associated with greater overall efficacy than with either treatment, given alone (Ib) (Blomhoff et al., 2001; Clark et al., 2003; Davidson et al., 2004).

When initial treatments prove unhelpful

There is no clear evidence for the benefit of dose escalation after an initial non-response. Switching between treatments with proven efficacy may be helpful (IIb) (Altamura et al., 1999). Open-label augmentation of SSRI treatment with buspirone has been reported as beneficial (IIb) (Van Ameringen et al., 1996), but a placebo-controlled pindolol augmentation study with paroxetine treatment indicates that the addition of pindolol was not associated with greater treatment efficacy (Ib) (Stein et al., 2001).

Simple phobia (also known as specific [or isolated] phobia)

Recognition and diagnosis

Specific fears of objects, animals, people or situations are widespread (having a lifetime prevalence of 49.5% in the National Comorbidity Survey), but only a minority (24.2%) of affected individuals reach diagnostic criteria for simple phobia (I) (Kessler et al., 1994). The presence of multiple fears is associated with increasing impairment, and comorbidity with other anxiety disorders (I) (Kessler et al., 1994). Many patients with specific fears only present for treatment at the time of changes in domestic or occupational responsibilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 8</th>
<th>Social phobia: treatment approaches supported by placebo-controlled studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSRIs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute efficacy</td>
<td>Escitalopram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluoxetine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluvoxamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sertraline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term efficacy</td>
<td>Escitalopram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clonazepam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Venlafaxine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sertraline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapse prevention</td>
<td>Escitalopram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sertraline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhances the efficacy of psychological treatment</td>
<td>Escitalopram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After non-response</td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sertraline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Empty cell indicates current absence of published placebo-controlled data.
Recommendations: treatment of social phobia

Detection and diagnosis
- Become familiar with the diagnostic criteria for social phobia (S)
- Assess the level of disability to help distinguish social phobia from shyness (A)
- Ask about social anxiety symptoms when patients present with depression, panic attacks restricted to social situations, or alcohol misuse (A)

Acute treatment
- Choose an evidence-based acute treatment (A):
  - pharmacological: most SSRIs (escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, paroxetine, sertraline), venlafaxine, phenelzine, moclobemide, some benzodiazepines (bromazepam, clonazepam) and anticonvulsants (gabapentin, pregabalin) and olanzapine
  - psychological: cognitive-behaviour therapy
- Take account of patient clinical features, needs and preference and local service availability when choosing treatment, as pharmacological and psychological approaches have broadly similar efficacy in acute treatment (S)
- Consider an SSRI for first-line pharmacological treatment (D)
- Routine prescription of higher doses of SSRIs is not recommended (A), but individual patients may benefit from higher doses (D)
- Advise the patient that treatment periods of up to 12 weeks are needed to assess efficacy (A)

Longer-term treatment
- Continue drug treatment for a further six months in patients who are responding at 12 weeks (A)
- Consider cognitive therapy with exposure as this may reduce relapse rates better than drug treatment (A)
- In longer-term treatment use an approach known to be efficacious in preventing relapse (S): consider an SSRI or CBT first-line (A): clonazepam may be considered as a second-line choice (D)
- Consider cognitive therapy after response to drug treatment, in patients with a high risk of relapse (D)
- Monitor efficacy and tolerability regularly during long-term treatment (S)

Combination of drugs and psychological treatment
- Routinely combining drug and psychological approaches is not recommended for initial treatment in the absence of consistent evidence for enhanced efficacy over each treatment given alone (A)

When initial treatments fail
- Consider switching to venlafaxine after non-response to acute treatment with an SSRI (C)
- Consider adding buspirone after partial response to an SSRI (C)

Recommendations: treatment of simple phobia

Detection and diagnosis
- Assess the number of fears, impairment and comorbidity to judge severity (S)
- Ask about anxiety symptoms when patients present with specific fears or phobias (A)

Treatment
- Use psychological approaches based on exposure techniques as first-line treatment (D)
- Consider paroxetine or benzodiazepines when patients with distressing and impairing phobias have not responded to psychological approaches (C)

Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)

Recognition and diagnosis
The findings of the US National Comorbidity Survey indicate that exposure to traumatic events was common (60.7% of men and 51.2% of women reported exposure to at least one traumatic event) but post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) had a lower lifetime prevalence (7.8%) indicating that many are resilient to traumatic adversity (I) (Kessler et al., 1995). PTSD shows considerable comorbidity with other anxiety disorders, depression and alcohol abuse or dependence, and is associated with increased use of health services, but is often not recognized in primary or secondary care, possibly due to unfamiliarity with the diagnostic criteria. Diagnosis can be established through a history of exposure to trauma (actual or threatened death, serious injury, or threats to the physical integrity of the self or others): a response of intense fear, helplessness or horror: re-experiencing symptoms (commonly intrusive recollections, flashbacks or dreams): avoidance symptoms (such as efforts to avoid activities or thoughts
associated with the trauma): and hyper-arousal symptoms (including disturbed sleep, hypervigilance and an exaggerated startle response).

**Prevention of post-traumatic symptoms**

There is theoretical scope for preventing the emergence of post-traumatic symptoms in people subject to major trauma. Acute administration of propranolol (160 mg/day) was superior to placebo in reducing subsequent post-traumatic symptoms and physiological hyperactivity to reminders of trauma, but not the emergence of PTSD, at 1 month (Ib) (Pitman et al., 2002), and a naturalistic study suggests acute administration of propranolol (120 mg/day) prevented the emergence of syndromal PTSD at two months (IIa) (Vaiva et al., 2003). Acute intravenous administration of hydrocortisone was superior to placebo in preventing emergence of post-traumatic symptoms, both in intensive care patients with septic shock (median interval, 31 months), and in patients undergoing cardiac surgery (interval, six months) (Ib) (Schelling et al., 2001; Schelling et al., 2004). By contrast, early administration of benzodiazepines after trauma may not prevent the emergence of post-traumatic symptoms (IIa) (Gelpin et al., 1996). The psychological approach with best evidence of efficacy in prevention of chronic post-traumatic symptoms is trauma-focused CBT, when provided within six months of the incident (Ia), approaches with limited efficacy include debriefing, relaxation and supportive psychotherapy (NICE, 2004).

**Acute treatment of chronic PTSD**

Systematic reviews demonstrate that a range of pharmacological and psychological approaches are efficacious in acute treatment of PTSD (Ia) (Van Etten and Taylor, 1998; Friedman et al., 2000; Stein et al., 2004; NICE, 2005). Randomized placebo-controlled trials provide evidence for the efficacy of some antidepressants (the SSRIs fluoxetine, paroxetine and sertraline: the TCAs amitriptyline and imipramine: the MAOIs phenelzine and brofaromine: and mirtazapine) on some outcome measures (Ia), but the clinical relevance of drug–placebo differences is debatable (NICE, 2005). In addition, there is evidence for the efficacy of venlafaxine (Ib) (Davidson et al., 2004), nefazodone (Davis et al., 2004) and the anticonvulsant lamotrigine (Ib) (Hertzberg et al., 1999). A comparator- and placebo-controlled study suggests phenelzine was superior to imipramine, in relieving avoidance symptoms (Ib) (Kosten et al., 1991). It is uncertain whether there is a dose-response relationship with any of the compounds with evidence of efficacy. Psychological approaches with proven efficacy include individual trauma-focused CBT and eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR) (Ia) (Van Etten and Taylor, 1998; NICE, 2005). Non trauma-focused psychological treatments and group therapies have not been shown to have proven efficacy, but neither have they been shown to be harmful (Ia) (NICE, 2005).

**Long-term treatment**

After a response to acute treatment, continuing with venlafaxine (Ib) (Davidson et al., 2004) or sertraline (IIb) (Londborg et al.,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevention of post-traumatic symptoms?</th>
<th>Hydrocortisone</th>
<th>Propranolol</th>
<th>Trauma-focused CBT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute efficacy</td>
<td>Fluoxetine</td>
<td>Amitriptyline</td>
<td>Alprazolam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td>Imipramine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term efficacy</td>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapse prevention</td>
<td>Fluoxetine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhances the efficacy of psychological treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After non-response</td>
<td>Olanzapine*</td>
<td>CBT?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Risperidone*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Placebo-controlled augmentation study.
Empty cell indicates current absence of published placebo-controlled data.
2001) treatment over six months is associated with a gradual increase in overall treatment response. Placebo-controlled relapse-prevention studies in patients who have responded to previous acute treatment reveal a significant advantage for staying on active medication, for fluoxetine (Ib) (Martenyi et al., 2002; Davidson et al., 2005) and sertraline (Ib) (Davidson et al., 2001).

Comparative efficacy of psychological and drug treatments

There have been very few direct comparisons of the efficacy of psychological and pharmacological treatments, in either acute or long-term treatment. A small unblinded 12-week comparison of paroxetine and trauma-focused CBT (Ila) (Frommberger et al., 2004) suggested that CBT may have certain advantages, in reducing post-traumatic and depressive symptoms.

When initial treatments prove unhelpful

There is no clear evidence for dose escalation after an initial non-response. Switching between treatments with proven efficacy may be helpful although there is little evidence (NICE, 2005). In placebo-controlled augmentation studies in patients who have not responded to antidepressant treatment, there is evidence for the efficacy of the atypical antipsychotic drugs olanzapine (Ib) (Stein et al., 2002) and risperidone (in patients with coexisting psychotic symptoms, or aggression) (Ia) (Hamner et al., 2003; Monnelly et al., 2003). An uncontrolled small study suggests that augmentation with the anticonvulsant tiagabine may be beneficial, after initial non-response (Iib) (Taylor, 2003).

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD)

Recognition and diagnosis

Obsessive-compulsive disorder has a lifetime prevalence of approximately 2.0% (I) (Weissman et al., 1994). The content of obsessions varies little between countries or cultures (I) (Sasson et al., 1997). The disorder typically follows a chronic course, waxing and waning in severity: and substantial comorbidity with major depression and anxiety disorders(I) (Rasmussen and Eisen, 1990) and tic disorders (I) (Zohar et al., 1992). Distinguishing OCD from obsessive-compulsive personality disorder is difficult and patients may fulfil diagnostic criteria for both conditions (I) (Albert et al., 2004). Patients can present with symptoms arising from comorbid conditions (especially bipolar disorder and major depression), rather than with obsessional ruminations and compulsive rituals (IV) (Angst et al., 2000).

Acute treatment

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses of randomized placebo-controlled trials indicate that the TCA clomipramine and the SSRIs (citalopram, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, paroxetine and sertraline) are efficacious in acute treatment. Psychological approaches

---

**Recommendations: treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder**

**Detection and diagnosis**
- Ask about a history of trauma when patients present with psychological symptoms (S)
- Become familiar with the diagnostic criteria for post-traumatic stress disorder (S)

**Prevention of post-traumatic symptoms**
- After major trauma, and providing there are no contraindications, consider preventive treatment of post-traumatic symptoms with propranolol (A). Routine debriefing is not indicated (A)
- Trauma-focused CBT can prevent the emergence of chronic PTSD in individuals with post-traumatic symptoms lasting one month or longer after a traumatic event (A)

**Acute treatment of chronic PTSD**
- Choose an evidence-based acute treatment (A):
  - pharmacological: some SSRIs (fluoxetine, paroxetine, sertraline), some TCAs (amitriptyline, imipramine), phenelzine, mirtazapine, venlafaxine, lamotrigine
  - psychological: trauma-focused individual CBT and EMDR (A)
- Take account of patient clinical features, needs and preference and local service availability when choosing treatment, as the comparative efficacy of drug and psychological approaches is not established (S)
- Routinely prescribing higher doses of SSRIs is not recommended (A) but individual patients may benefit from higher doses (D)
- Advise the patient that treatment periods of up to 12 weeks are needed to assess efficacy (A)

**Longer-term treatment**
- Continue drug treatment for a further 12 months in patients who are responding at 12 weeks (C)
- In longer-term treatment use an approach known to be efficacious in preventing relapse (S): among pharmacological treatments the best evidence is for SSRIs (A)
- Monitor efficacy and tolerability regularly during long-term treatment (S)

**Combination of drugs with psychological treatment**
- Routinely combining drug and psychological approaches is not recommended because of the lack of evidence of enhanced efficacy over each treatment given alone (A)
- Antidepressants should be used in patients with co-existing severe depressive symptoms (A)

**When initial treatments fail**
- Consider switching to other evidence-based treatments, after non-response to first treatment (C)
- Consider combining evidence-based treatments only when there are no contraindications (D)
- Consider combining drug and psychological treatment (D)
- Consider augmentation of antidepressants with an atypical antipsychotic after initial non-response (C)
- Consider referral to regional or national specialist services in refractory patients (S)
with proven efficacy in acute treatment include behaviour therapy based on exposure techniques and cognitive therapy (Ia) (Kobak et al., 1998).

The efficacy of clomipramine appears marginally superior to that of SSRIs in meta-analysis (Ia) (Ackerman and Greenland, 2002): though not in randomized controlled trials, in which the tolerability of SSRIs is generally superior (Ia) (Fineberg and Gale, 2005).

Fixed-dose comparator studies provide inconsistent evidence for a dose-response relationship with SSRIs, higher doses being associated with greater efficacy in some (Ib) (Montgomery et al., 1993; Whearon et al., 1993; Hollander et al., 2003) but not all evaluations (Ib) (Tollefson et al., 1994; Greist et al., 1995; Montgomery et al., 2001).

**Long-term treatment**

Long-term (up to 12 months) double-blind studies demonstrate an advantage for continuing with medication, in patients who have responded to acute treatment (Ib) (Katz et al., 1990; Tollefson et al., 1994; Greist et al., 1995). Most (but not all) placebo-controlled relapse-prevention studies in patients who have responded to previous acute treatment reveal a significant advantage for staying on active medication (clomipramine, paroxetine, sertraline and fluoxetine, at higher dose), compared to switching to placebo (Ia) (Fineberg and Gale, 2005).

**Comparative efficacy of psychological and drug treatments**

There is some evidence that combination treatment is superior to psychological approaches or serotonergic antidepressant treatment, when given alone. The evidence for enhanced efficacy of exposure therapy with clomipramine compared to exposure alone is inconsistent (Ib) (Marks et al., 1988; Foa et al., 2005), but fluvoxamine has been shown to enhance the efficacy of exposure therapy (Ia) (Cottraux et al., 1993) and multi-modal CBT (Ia) (Hohagen et al., 1998). Some studies have suggested that relapse rates are greater after initial treatment with a pharmacological rather than with a psychological intervention (Ib) (Simpson et al., 2004).

**When initial treatments prove unhelpful**

Switching between pharmacological or psychological treatments with proven efficacy may be helpful in some patients, as may increasing dosage, tolerability permitting. Randomized double-blind or single-blind (Atmaca et al., 2002) placebo-controlled augmentation studies indicate that haloperidol (Ib) (McDougle et al., 1994), risperidone (Ib) (McDougle et al., 2000; Hollander et al., 2003; Erzegovesi et al., 2005) and quetiapine (Ib) (Atmaca et al., 2002; Denys et al., 2004) are all efficacious in patients who have not responded to initial treatment with SSRIs: the evidence for augmentation with pindolol is mixed (Ib) (Blier and Bergeron, 1996; Dannon et al., 2000). Intravenous infusion of clomipramine also appears efficacious after non-response to oral clomipramine, but the necessary arrangements for monitoring limit its usefulness in clinical practice (Fallon et al., 1998). By contrast, placebo-controlled or comparator-controlled (Pigott et al., 1991) studies indicate that augmentation treatment with lithium (Ib) (McDougle et al., 1991; Pigott et al., 1991), buspirone (Ib) (Pigott et al., 1992; Grady et al., 1993), liothyronine (Ib) (Pigott et al., 1991), desipramine (Ib) (Barr et al., 1997) or inositol (Ib)

---

**Table 10** Obsessive–compulsive disorder: treatment approaches supported by placebo-controlled studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SSRIs</th>
<th>TCAs</th>
<th>Benzodiazepines</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acute efficacy</strong></td>
<td>Citalopram</td>
<td>Clomipramine</td>
<td>Clonazepam?</td>
<td>CBT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluoxetine</td>
<td>Imipramine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluvoxamine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-term efficacy</strong></td>
<td>Fluoxetine</td>
<td>Clomipramine</td>
<td></td>
<td>CBT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relapse prevention</strong></td>
<td>Fluvoxamine</td>
<td>Clomipramine</td>
<td>Clonazepam</td>
<td>Another SSRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Haloperidol*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Risperidone*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quetiapine*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pindolol*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Placebo-controlled augmentation study. Empty cell indicates absence of placebo-controlled data.
Recommendations: treatment of obsessive–compulsive disorder

**Detection and diagnosis**
- Become familiar with the diagnostic criteria for obsessive-compulsive disorder (S)
- Assess the time engaged in obsessive-compulsive behaviour, the associated distress and impairment, and the degree of attempted resistance to confirm the diagnosis
- Ask about obsessive-compulsive symptoms when patients present with depression (A)

**Acute treatment**
- Choose an evidence-based acute treatment (A):
  - pharmacological: clomipramine and SSRIs
  - psychological: exposure therapy and cognitive-behavioural therapy
- Take account of patient clinical features, needs and preference and local service availability when choosing treatment (S): drug and psychological approaches have broadly similar efficacy in acute treatment but relapse rates after response may be higher with pharmacological than with psychological treatment approaches (A)
- Consider an SSRI for first-line pharmacological treatment (D)
- Increase the dose of SSRIs if there is insufficient response (C), but be aware that this approach has not always been associated with greater response rates (A)
- Advise the patient that treatment periods of up to 12 weeks are needed to assess efficacy (A)

**Longer-term treatment**
- Continue drug treatment for a further 12 months in patients who are responding at 12 weeks (A)
- In longer-term treatment use an approach known to be efficacious in preventing relapse (S): consider SSRIs as first choice (A) as there is best evidence for this group
- Monitor efficacy and tolerability regularly during long-term treatment (S)

**Combination of drugs with psychological treatments**
- Routinely combining drug and psychological approaches is not recommended for initial treatment (B) but consider adding an SSRI or clomipramine to psychological treatment when efficacy needs to be maximized (C)

When initial treatments fail
- Consider increasing the dose of clomipramine or SSRI after initial non-response to standard doses (A)
- Consider switching between proven treatments after initial non-response (A)
- Consider combining evidence-based treatments only when there are no contraindications (S)
- Consider combining drug treatment and exposure therapy or CBT (B)
- Consider augmentation with antipsychotics or pindolol after initial SSRI non-response (A)
- Consider referral to regional or national specialist OCD services in refractory patients (S)

(Fux et al., 1999) is not efficacious. Potential but as yet not fully proven approaches in treatment-resistant OCD include higher-dose SSRI monotherapy; combination SSRI-clomipramine treatment; augmentation with other atypical antipsychotics, CNS-penetrating triptans, immunoglobulins and plasmapheresis (IV) (Husted and Shapira, 2004). Other potential approaches include deep brain stimulation (IIb) (Nuttin et al., 2003); and neurosurgical approaches, including anterior capsulotomy, limbic leucotomy and cingulotomy (III) (Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2000).

**Special considerations**

**Children and adolescents**

Certain anxiety disorders (social phobia and obsessive-compulsive disorder) have a typical age of onset of symptoms in adolescence, and many young people are substantially disabled by distressing, persistent and severe anxiety symptoms (I) (Rasmussen and Eisen, 1990; Faravelli and others, 2000; Weissman et al., 1994). There have been comparatively few controlled evaluations of the benefits and risks of psychotropic drug treatment in young people, but randomized placebo-controlled trials in children and adolescents indicate that SSRIs and clomipramine are efficacious in OCD (Ib) (Geller et al., 2003), and paroxetine in social phobia (Ib) (Wagner et al., 2004). The treatment response in OCD is similar in adult (18–65 years) and younger (6–17 years) clinical samples (Ia) (Fineberg et al., 2004).

The United Kingdom Committee on Safety of Medicines has stated that the balance of risks and benefits for the treatment of depressive illness in people under the age of 18 years is judged to be unfavourable for some SSRIs (escitalopram, citalopram, paroxetine and sertraline), mirtazapine and venlafaxine (IV) (Committee on Safety of Medicines, 2004), and has advised caution when treating adults aged 18–30 years with SSRIs. These recommendations do not apply to the treatment of children and adolescents with anxiety disorders, because the risk of self-harm is less and the therapeutic benefits greater. Nevertheless, careful monitoring is advisable, due to possible diagnostic uncertainty, the presence of comorbid depression, problems associated with estimating the optimal dosage and the difficulties young people might have in describing untoward effects of psychotropic drug treatment. It may be preferable to reserve pharmacological treatments for patients who do not respond to evidence-based psychological approaches.

**Elderly patients**

Many elderly patients are troubled by anxiety symptoms, though anxiety disorders in those over 65 years may be less common than in younger samples (I) (Flint, 1994; Schaub and Linden, 2000), and there have been few controlled investigations in this patient group. Placebo-controlled studies indicate that venlafaxine is efficacious in elderly patients with GAD (Ia) (Katz et al., 2002), and citalopram in patients with a range of anxiety disorders (Ib) (Lenze et al., 2005).
Pharmacological treatment of anxiety disorders in patients with cardiac disease or epilepsy

TCAs are best avoided in patients with cardiac disease: they can increase heart rate, induce orthostatic hypotension, slow cardiac conduction and have significant quinidine-like effects on conduction within the myocardium. Other type 1A antiarrhythmics (quinidine, moricizine) carry an increased risk of mortality in patients with ventricular arrhythmias and ischaemic heart disease, and TCAs should be regarded as relatively contraindicated in these situations: by contrast, the SSRIs have minimal effects on cardiovascular function and may have potentially beneficial effects on platelet aggregation (Davies et al., 2004; Roose, 2003). The UK CSM currently recommends that venlafaxine is avoided in patients with cardiac disease, electrolyte imbalances or hypertension (IV) (Committee on Safety of Medicines, 2004).

Most antidepressants can lower the seizure threshold. The antidepressants amoxapine and maprotiline (and bupropion, marketed in the US as an antidepressant) probably have the most marked proconvulsant properties and should be avoided in epileptic patients (Kanner, 2003): the lack of evidence of efficacy in anxiety disorders means prescription of these drugs should be avoided. Pharmacokinetic interactions between antidepressants and anti-convulsants are not uncommon – for example, carbamazepine can increase the metabolism of TCAs, whereas fluoxetine can inhibit the metabolism of some anti-convulsants: it is always advisable to establish the potential for untoward drug-drug interactions when treating epileptic patients with anxiety disorders.

Pregnant and breastfeeding women

In general, most doctors would consider withdrawing psychotropic drugs in pregnant women (particularly in the first trimester), but it is sometimes necessary to continue treatment in patients with severe anxiety disorders. Many studies have indicated that TCAs and fluoxetine may be safe when taken during the first trimester, but their potential teratogenicity and effects on development after delivery is less certain. The findings of a prospective controlled study suggest that long-term pre-natal exposure to fluoxetine or venlafaxine is avoided in patients with cardiac disease, electrolyte imbalances or hypertension (IV) (Committee on Safety of Medicines, 2004).

Most antidepressants can lower the seizure threshold. The antidepressants amoxapine and maprotiline (and bupropion, marketed in the US as an antidepressant) probably have the most marked proconvulsant properties and should be avoided in epileptic patients (Kanner, 2003): the lack of evidence of efficacy in anxiety disorders means prescription of these drugs should be avoided. Pharmacokinetic interactions between antidepressants and anti-convulsants are not uncommon – for example, carbamazepine can increase the metabolism of TCAs, whereas fluoxetine can inhibit the metabolism of some anti-convulsants: it is always advisable to establish the potential for untoward drug-drug interactions when treating epileptic patients with anxiety disorders.

Referral to secondary care mental health services

Patients should be referred to secondary care mental health services when the primary care practitioner feels insufficiently experienced to manage the patient’s condition; when two or more attempts at treatment have not resulted in sustained improvement; when there are severe coexisting depressive symptoms or a risk of suicide; when comorbid physical illness and concomitantly prescribed treatments could interact with prescribed psychotropic medication; and when proposed interventions are not available within primary care services.

Recommendations: special considerations

Children and adolescents

- Reserve pharmacological treatments for children and adolescents who have not responded to psychological treatments, and in whom the potential benefits are likely to outweigh potential risks (B)
- If drug treatment is considered choose from the same range of treatments as with adults: SSRIs are a first-line choice from limited evidence (A), but avoid benzodiazepines and tricyclic antidepressants because of potential adverse effects (D)
- Consider dosages carefully in relation to age and size, starting with low doses (D)
- Carefully monitoring for potential adverse effects, being aware that children and adolescents may find it difficult to describe them (S)

Elderly patients

- Treat as for patients younger than 65 years being mindful of the possibility of drug interactions, physical comorbidity, the need for lower doses due to reduced metabolism, or increased sensitivity to adverse effects (D)

Cardiac disease and epilepsy

- Avoid tricyclic antidepressants in cardiac disease (C)
- The relative safety of venlafaxine is unclear at the time of writing (August 2005) and it is not possible to make a consensus recommendation. Current recommendations advise against use of venlafaxine in patients with cardiac disease
- Avoid antidepressants that lower the seizure threshold in epilepsy (C)
- Consider pharmacokinetic interactions between antidepressants and other drugs, e.g. anti-convulsants (S)

Pregnancy and breastfeeding

- Consider carefully the potential risks and benefits of pharmacological treatment and alternative evidence-based psychological treatments, avoiding drugs if possible (S)
- Fluoxetine or tricyclic antidepressants are considered first-line if drug treatment is used in pregnancy, as there is most evidence with these drugs (C)
- Consider SSRIs (apart from fluoxetine and citalopram) and tricyclic antidepressant in breast-feeding mothers as secretion into breast milk is low (C)
**Referral to secondary care mental health services**

- Patients should be referred (S) if any of the following apply:
  - the primary care practitioner feels insufficiently experienced to manage the patient’s condition
  - two or more attempts at treatment have not resulted in sustained improvement
  - there are severe coexisting depressive symptoms or a risk of suicide
  - comorbid physical illness and concomitantly prescribed treatments could interact with prescribed psychotropic medication
  - proposed interventions are not available within primary care services

**Note**

Enquiries about data held by pharmaceutical companies and referenced here should be directed to the medical department of the relevant company.
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